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Abstract—The proposed reactive power control is intended to regulate the maximum and minimum phase voltages at the point of common coupling within the limits established in grid codes for continuous operation. This paper presents a control strategy for a grid connected photovoltaic (PV) system aiming to regulate the active and reactive power injected to the electric system during asymmetrical voltage faults. Fuzzy controller is advance controller which is mostly suitable for the human decision making mechanism which also provided the operation of an electronic system with the expert decision. The active power reference is obtained from a Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) algorithm. The proposed control strategy generates the required reference currents to be imposed by the grid-tied inverter from the desired active and reactive power and the measured supply voltage. In unbalanced voltage sags, positive and negative sequence reactive powers are combined to flexibly raise and equalize the phase voltages; maximum phase voltage is regulated below the upper limit and the minimum phase voltage just above the lower limit. The scheme is validated for a single stage PV system where the inverter currents are regulated via predictive control. By utilizing the fuzzy controller for a nonlinear system which allow the reduction for the uncertain effect in the system which control and perfectly improve the efficiency. Results showing the performance of the strategy are presented during unbalanced voltage sags and swells.
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INTRODUCTION

The high penetration level of renewable energy sources and distributed generation (DG) plants has led to a change in the requirements for ancillary services, particularly during grid faults. Nowadays, grid-connected photovoltaic systems have become one of the fastest growing and most promising renewable energy sources in the world. The grid connected PV energy conversion systems can be grouped in two types: 1) Single stage: with only one power converter which handles all tasks, i.e. maximum power point tracking (MPPT) and grid current control, 2) Multi stage: where the addition of one or more DC/DC converters is used to carry out MPPT. However, multi stage systems have some drawbacks such as a lower efficiency and reliability, higher cost, and larger size in comparison to single stage systems [2], [3]. Different current controllers have been suggested in the literature to inject the desired active and reactive power during a voltage unbalance.

The general principle of the aforementioned methods is to measure the three-phase grid voltage and decompose it in positive and negative sequence; then the control strategy uses one or both sequence components to calculate the current reference during a voltage fault: (1) Instantaneous active reactive control (IARC) generates active/reactive power constant during grid fault but with a high level of THD(i) and unbalanced currents, (2) positive-negative sequence control (PNSC) generates active power with a ripple, reactive power constant, null THDi and unbalanced currents, (3) average active reactive control (AARC) generates reactive power with a ripple, active power constant, null THDi and unbalanced currents and (4) balanced positive sequence control (BPSC) generates active/reactive power with a ripple, null THDi and balanced currents.

This paper presents a control strategy for single stage PV systems designed to inject the desired active and reactive power during unbalanced voltage sags and swells, including a strategy for the accurate control of currents. Voltage faults containing negative, positive and zero sequence voltages are considered. Voltage faults containing zero sequence voltage is an issue not addressed in previous works on this subject. The scheme for the PV plant is a single-stage where a predictive grid-current control is applied. It is shown that the control scheme operated in normal and unbalanced conditions injecting the desired power references.
PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM

A large PV plant with centralized configuration or string configuration presents the scheme shown in Fig. 1.

The PV array is connected to the grid by a power converter which carries out MPPT as well as controls of the active and reactive power flow. Most PV systems operate below a thousand volts hence a transformer is used to increase the Low voltage (LV) generated to a Medium Voltage (MV); typically in star-delta connection (Y-∆). The power from the PV plant is transmitted to a substation where a transformer elevates the voltages from medium to high voltage power transmission.

The grid-connected photovoltaic system is presented in Fig. 2, where the variables required to control the system are shown. The PV module array is connected to a single stage inverter which must ensure the injection of active power calculated with some method to obtain MPPT. In this work the Perturb & Observe (P&O) method is used.

PV Panel and MPPT method

A commercial PV array is set with several photovoltaic cells connected in series or parallel, which define the maximum power voltage (VMPPT) and current (IMPPT), the short circuit current (ISC) and open circuit voltage (VOC). The parameters of commercial PV panel considered in this work are shown in Table I for an irradiation of 1000 W/m² and a temperature of 25°C. Fig. 3 shows the control scheme for the grid-connected photovoltaic system. This control scheme can be separated in three stages: PV Panel and MPPT method, Reference Currents Calculation and Grid-tied connected inverter current control.

Fig. 3. Control Scheme for Grid-connected photovoltaic system for balanced and unbalanced grid voltage conditions with fuzzy controller.

As previously mentioned, the single stage converter must perform the PV plant MPPT. In this work, a standard Perturb and Observe method (P&O) will be used [10]. The MPPT tracking scheme is done every 1s and a 5V voltage perturbation is used. Once the DC-link reference voltage is obtained, a PI controller is used [11] to control the DC voltage by setting the power injected to the grid. A scheme of the control loop is shown in Fig. 4 where is a model of the inverter and is the DC link capacitor value.
B. Reference Current Calculation

The objective of the proposed strategy is to control the active and reactive power supplied to the grid by the power converter. The presented method assumes known instantaneous active/reactive power references and grid voltage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. PV PANEL PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P_{mppt}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_{mppt}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{mppt}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_{ac}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{dc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of PV panels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Considering the grid voltage and current in abc coordinates \([v_{abc}] = [v_a \ v_b \ v_c]^T\) and \([i_{abc}] = [i_a \ i_b \ i_c]^T\). Then the active power obtained assuming Clarke’s transformation, presented in (1) the instantaneous active power \(p(t)\) could be written in \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) coordinates

\[
T_{ABC\rightarrow\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}
\]

Using \([v_{abc}] = T^{-1}[v_{\alpha\beta\gamma}]\) and \([i_{abc}] = T^{-1}[i_{\alpha\beta\gamma}]\), \(p(t)\) in \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) coordinates is:

\[
p(t) = (T^{-1}[v_{\alpha\beta\gamma}])^T(T^{-1}[i_{\alpha\beta\gamma}])
\]

\[
p(t) = [v_{\alpha\beta\gamma}]^T(T^{-1})(T^{-1})[i_{\alpha\beta\gamma}]
\]

Since \(i_a + i_b + i_c = 0\), \(p(t)\) is

\[
p(t) = \frac{3}{2} v_a i_a + \frac{3}{2} v_\beta i_\beta
\]

The zero sequence current is null, (4) is still valid to obtain \(p(t)\) even under the existence of zero sequence voltages in fault conditions.

Developing (6), \(|q_{abc}|\) using voltage and current alpha beta-gamma (with null zero sequence current component) is:

\[
|q_{abc}| = \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{2v_\beta^2 (i_a^2 + i_\beta^2)} + (v_\alpha i_\beta + v_\beta i_a)^2
\]

The reference currents to supply an active power

\[
i_{a1}^*, i_{a2}^* = \frac{pv_{\alpha\beta\gamma}[\sqrt{-2p^2v_\gamma^2 + q^2(v_\alpha^2 + v_\beta^2)}]}{2v_\alpha^2 + v_\beta^2}
\]

The reference currents given by (8) relates to lagging power factor and those from (9) corresponds to a leading power factor. Reference currents in (8)-(9) must comply with

\[
-2p^2 v_\gamma^2 + q^2(v_\alpha^2 + v_\beta^2) \geq 0
\]

In order to have realistic reference currents (without imaginary components), \(pref\) and \(qref\) should fulfill the following condition:

\[
|q|_{ref} \geq \frac{v_\gamma}{\sqrt{v_\alpha^2 + v_\beta^2}} p_{ref}
\]

It should be noted that unbalanced voltage failures produced between the inverter and the transformer low voltage side could generate zero sequence voltages, for instance in a single phase fault.

B. Grid-tied connected Inverter

Full details of the Grid-tied connected inverter, see Fig. 2, control can be found in [13]. An outer standard DC link voltage control is used and an inner predictive current control is applied.

Fuzzy Logic Controller

In FLC, essential control activity is dictated by an arrangement of phonetic standards. These standards are controlled by the framework. Since the numerical factors are changed over into semantic factors, scientific displaying of the framework isn’t required in FC.

The FLC involves three sections: fuzzification, obstruction motor and defuzzification. The FC is described as I. seven fluffy sets for each info and yield. ii. Triangular enrollment capacities for effortlessness. iii. Fuzzification utilizing consistent universe of talk. iv.
Suggestion utilizing Mamdani’s, ‘min’ administrator. v. Defuzzification utilizing the tallness strategy.

TABLE I: Fuzzy Rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in error</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Enroll</th>
<th>Negative Big</th>
<th>Negative Medium</th>
<th>Negative Small</th>
<th>Zero</th>
<th>Positive Small</th>
<th>Positive Medium</th>
<th>Positive Big</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fuzzification:** Enrollment work estimates are doled out to the etymological factors, utilizing seven fluffy subsets: NB (Negative Big), NM (Negative Medium), NS (Negative Small), ZE (Zero), PS (Positive Small), PM (Positive Medium), and PB (Positive Big). The Partition of fluffy subsets and the state of enrollment CE(k) E(k) work adjust the take care of business to fitting framework. The estimation of information mistake and change in blunder are standardized by an info scaling factor. In this framework the information scaling factor has been outlined with the end goal that information esteems are between -1 and +1. The triangular state of the participation capacity of this plan presumes that for a specific E(k) contribution there is just a single predominant fluffy subset. The information blunder for the FLC is given as

\[ E(k) = \frac{P_{ph(k)}}{P_{ph(k-1)}} - \frac{V_{ph(k)}}{V_{ph(k-1)}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

\[ CE(k) = E(k) - E(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Inference Method: A few arrangement strategies, for example, Max–Min and Max-Dot have been proposed in the writing. In this paper Min technique is utilized. The yield enrollment capacity of each control is given by the base administrator and greatest administrator. Table 1 demonstrates control base of the FLC.

**Defuzzification:** As a plant more often than not requires a non-fluffy estimation of control, a defuzzification arrange is required. To register the yield of the FLC, „height“ strategy is utilized and the FLC yield alters the control yield. Further, the yield of FLC controls the switch in the inverter. In UPQC, the dynamic power, receptive power, terminal voltage of the line and capacitor voltage are required to be kept up. Keeping in mind the end goal to control these parameters, they are detected and contrasted and the reference esteems. To accomplish this, the enrollment elements of FC are: mistake, change in blunder and yield

The set of FC rules are derived from

\[ u=-[\alpha E + (1-\alpha)*C] \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[ CE(k) = E(k) - E(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Where \( \alpha \) is self-adjustable factor which can regulate the whole operation. E is the error of the system, C is the change in error and u is the control variable.
SIMULATIONS RESULTS

The proposed control strategy has been simulated in Matlab considering an 186kW PV plant (570 PV panels of 325W each). A sampling period of 56µs is considered as this corresponds to the maximum switching frequency of the inverter that will be used to obtain experimental results in a future work, using an PV emulator a low power inverter. The system parameters used in the simulation are depicted in Table II.

TABLE II. SET-UP SYSTEM PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>1[mΩ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.2[mH]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2400[µF]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vrms</td>
<td>220V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{\text{panel}})</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{\text{pp,panel}})</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_s)</td>
<td>56[µs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>50Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Panel and MPPT method

Simulations results for normal operation of the PV system, without voltage faults, are shown with irradiation and temperature profile illustrated in Fig. 10.

Fig. 10. Irradiation and Temperature Profile considered in the simulation results.

The reactive power injected to the grid is set to zero, unless otherwise stated, and the active power injected depends on the irradiation and panel temperature. The grid current, active power and reactive power are shown in Fig.11. The active power changes from 185kW to 123.5kW, under MPPT conditions. The grid current changes from 480A to 325A.

Fig. 11. Grid RMS Current, Active power and Reactive Power for normal operation.

Fig. 7 shows the current, voltage and power from the PV plant. The DC current changes from 260A to 181A. The DC initial voltage reference is set to 750V and fluctuates between 715V and 675V with a voltage ripple of 10V. The power delivered from the PV array is initially 175kW and then changes from 186kW to about 124kW. The difference in the active power between Fig.11 and Fig.12 is due to system losses.
Fig. 12. Current, Voltage, Power for PV array.

B. Voltage Sag: 40% vb, 30% vc and 20% va

Three Voltage sag are applied in the system, see Fig. 13 (top). A 40% voltage reduction for phase B is applied during t=0.05s and t=0.2s, 30% voltage reduction in phase C is applied during t=0.1s and t=0.2s, and finally a 20% over voltage is caused in phase A from t=0.15(s) to t=0.2(s). The reference reactive power is set to zero, and the system is operating at MPPT with 1000W/m2 and 25°C generating 185kW. The current injected to the grid is shown in Fig. 13 (middle).

Fig. 13. Voltage (top), Grid Current (middle) and Active/Reactive Power injected (bottom) during a voltage sag.
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The results are shown in Fig. 16. The current before fault is 497A and the PV system generates 185kW. During the grid faults the active and reactive powers follow their corresponding references.

This paper has shown a scheme to control the active power supplied for a photovoltaic power plant to a power supply system under grid faults conditions. The strategy is able to inject maximum power from the photovoltaic plant, for different solar radiation and temperature profiles, during grid voltage sags and swells.

The active power is maintained at the MPPT but the reactive power has a ripple of 100Hz. In order to satisfy (10), the reference reactive power is set to 32.5kVAr. The results are shown in Fig. 16.

**Fig. 16. Voltage, Current Grid and Active and Reactive Power injected during voltage swell.**

The active power is maintained at the MPPT but the reactive power has a ripple of 100Hz. In order to satisfy (10), the reference reactive power is set to 32.5kVAr. The results are shown in Fig. 16.

**CONCLUSION**

This paper presents a reference generator capable to accomplish these two objectives: to keep the injected currents within safety values, and to compute the power references for a better utilization of the inverter power capacity. This paper has shown a scheme to control the active power supplied for a photovoltaic power plant to a power supply system under grid faults conditions. Fuzzy controller is advance controller which is mostly suitable for the human decision making mechanism which also provided the operation of an electronic system with the expert decision. The strategy is able to inject maximum power from the photovoltaic plant, for different solar radiation and temperature profiles, during grid voltage sags and swells. By utilizing the fuzzy controller for a nonlinear system which allow the reduction for the uncertain effect in the system which control and perfectly improve the efficiency. The simulation results have validated the proposed scheme. A low power experimental setup is being built to validate the strategy experimentally with a PV emulator.
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