

Assessment of Contamination Factor of Heavy Metals in Sediment from Adyar Estuary

^[1] Sophia.S,

^[1] PG & Research Department of Advanced Zoology and Biotechnology ,
Loyola college, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract— The main objective of this study was to assess the pollution indices by the heavy metals and its seasonal variation in sediment. Sediments from the Adyar estuary were collected to evaluate the contamination of sediment by the metals including Arsenic (As), Lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg). Sediment samples were collected in the ten sampling points in the mouth of the estuary. The pollution indices of the Arsenic (As), Lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) in the sediment were estimated by Contamination factor (CF), Geo accumulation index (I_{geo}), Degree of contamination of sediments (cd) and Pollution load index (PLI). The sediment samples were subjected to a total digestion technique and analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS) for metals including As, Pb and Hg. The Adyar estuary sediment has a low level of contamination with respect to Pb and Hg. Among all, Arsenic has the highest in all evaluated method, which shows low to moderate level of pollution.

Keywords: sediment, contamination factor, heavy metals, CF, I_{geo} , C_d , PLI.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sediments are known as “Trace element traps” (1). Sediments ultimately bind in all the contaminants which enter into the aquatic environment. Heavy metals in the sediments lead to greater environmental problems, when the contaminated sediments are resuspended and such metals are taken up by filter feeders. The occurrence of elevated concentrations of trace metals in sediments found at the bottom of the water column can be a good indicator of man induced pollution rather than natural enrichment of the sediment by geological weathering (2). The contamination assessment of the sediment by heavy metals can be assessed in many ways. The most common methods are the Contamination factor (CF), Geo accumulation index (I_{geo}), Degree of contamination of sediments (cd) and Pollution load index (PLI).

Sediments can reflect the quality of the water and it can be used to detect insoluble contaminants (3). Heavy metals concentrations in aquatic ecosystems are usually monitored by measuring their concentrations in water, sediments and biota (4). Sediment are usually regarded as the ultimate sink for heavy metals discharged into the environment (5) and sediments can be sensitive indicators for monitoring contaminants in aquatic environments (6). Pollution index is a powerful tool for processing, analyzing, and conveying raw environmental information (7). Heavy metals have been used as indices of pollution because of the high toxicity to human and aquatic life (8).

II MATERIAL AND METHODS STUDY AREA

The samples were collected from Adyar estuary, Chennai, Tamilnadu state, India. The samples collected from the mouth of the estuary to head of the estuary. The samples were collected from ten sites within the estuary, the GPS location was marked in 10 different sites during first sample collection and the subsequent collection was performed in the similar location.

SAMPLING

The sediment was collected from 10 different sites of mouth of the Adyar estuary. Four samples were collected within 100 -200 meters to make composite. Approximately 50g of samples were collected from each dig to achieve 200g. The composite sediment samples were mixed well after each collection. The collected samples were transferred to laboratory immediately for analysis (9). The sediment samples were dried and pre heated in oven for 1hr and digested by using concentrated nitric acid and kept in muffle furnace at 540°C for 3hrs. After, complete digestion the samples were estimated in Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS).

CONTAMINATION FACTOR (CF):

Contamination is described as the contamination of a given toxic substance in a basin

(10). The level of contamination is expressed by CF (6), which is used as global standard reference for unpolluted sediment. CF was calculated as the ratio between the sediment metal content at a given station and the Normal Concentration Levels (NCLs), reflects the metal enrichment in the sediment.

$$CF = \frac{\text{Metal concentration in sediment}}{\text{Background value of metal}}$$

The world crustal average contamination of the trace metals under consideration reported by (11) was used for background values of metals. The CF was classified into four groups (6) : $1 \leq CF$ - Low contamination factor; $1 \leq CF < 3$ - Moderate contamination factor; $3 \leq CF < 6$ - Considerable contamination factor; and $6 \geq CF$ - Very high contamination factor.

GEOACCUMULATION INDEX (I_{geo}):

Geochemical index (I_{geo}) was originally stated by (12) in order to determine and define metal contamination in sediments by comparing metal concentrations with the element's content in "average shale" (13) and 1.5 is the factor compensating background data (correction factor) due to the lithogenic effect (14). I_{geo} is calculated as follows:

$$I_{geo} = \text{Log}_2 \frac{C}{1.5 \times B}$$

Where, C is the measured sedimentary concentration for metal; B is the background value for the metal; factor of 1.5 was used because of possible variations in background values for a given metal in the environment, as well as very small anthropogenic influences (15). In the present study, I_{geo} has been calculated using background values for

world crustal average metal concentrations as presented by (11).

DEGREE OF CONTAMINATION OF SEDIMENTS (C_d):

The degree of contamination (C_d) is defined as the sum of all contaminants factors various heavy metals (16). The degree of contamination (C_d) was originally defined as the sum of all contamination factors.

$$C_d = \sum_{i=1}^m C_f^i$$

Where C_f^i is the single index of contamination factor, and m is the count of the heavy metal species. (7) and (6) described the contamination degree as follows; $C_d < 3$ - Low degree of contamination; $10 \leq C_d < 6$ - Moderate degree of contamination; $20 \leq C_d < 12$ - Considerable degree of contamination; and $C_d > 24$ - Very high degree of contamination

POLLUTION LOAD INDEX (PLI):

PLI for a particular site has been evaluated following the method proposed by (17). PLI was calculated as the root of the product of the single indices. The pollution load index (PLI) provides a simple and comparative means for assessing the level of heavy metal pollution. PLI is expressed as $PLI = n\sqrt{(CF_1 \times CF_2 \times CF_3 \dots CF_n)}$ Where, n is the number of metals and CF is the contamination factor. The PLI value > 1 is polluted whereas < 1 indicates no pollution (18; 19).

III RESULT

Table I Contamination factor in sediments

Site	Monsoon			Post Monsoon			Pre Monsoon		
	As	Pb	Hg	As	Pb	Hg	As	Pb	Hg
Site 1	1.63	0.31	0.04	1.77	0.29	0.02	1.95	0.31	0.03
Site 2	1.78	0.33	0.04	1.77	0.30	0.02	2.03	0.32	0.03
Site 3	1.55	0.33	0.04	1.57	0.31	0.02	2.22	0.35	0.03
Site 4	1.54	0.30	0.03	1.57	0.30	0.04	2.13	0.31	0.04
Site 5	1.68	0.35	0.03	1.77	0.29	0.04	1.98	0.34	0.04
Site 6	1.53	0.33	0.04	1.70	0.29	0.04	1.68	0.30	0.04
Site 7	1.90	0.31	0.04	1.81	0.29	0.04	1.61	0.33	0.03

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)
Vol 3, Issue 4, April 2018

Site 8	1.69	0.28	0.04	1.76	0.30	0.04	2.07	0.30	0.04
Site 9	1.98	0.31	0.04	1.71	0.31	0.05	1.79	0.32	0.03
Site10	1.91	0.32	0.05	1.73	0.31	0.05	1.97	0.34	0.03
Min	1.53	0.28	0.03	1.57	0.29	0.02	1.61	0.30	0.03
Max	1.98	0.35	0.05	1.81	0.31	0.05	2.22	0.35	0.04
Mean	1.72	0.32	0.04	1.72	0.30	0.04	1.94	0.32	0.03
CF	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low

Table II: Geoaccumulation index in sediments

Site	Monsoon			Post Monsoon			Pre Monsoon		
	As	Pb	Hg	As	Pb	Hg	As	Pb	Hg
Site 1	0.12	-2.29	-5.32	0.23	-2.36	-6.18	0.38	-2.27	-5.73
Site 2	0.25	-2.18	-5.16	0.24	-2.32	-6.03	0.44	-2.24	-5.76
Site 3	0.04	-2.19	-5.17	0.07	-2.26	-5.97	0.57	-2.11	-5.46
Site 4	0.04	-2.32	-5.56	0.06	-2.30	-5.33	0.51	-2.29	-5.37
Site 5	0.16	-2.12	-5.46	0.24	-2.37	-5.30	0.40	-2.13	-5.34
Site 6	0.03	-2.19	-5.33	0.18	-2.36	-5.25	0.16	-2.31	-5.32
Site 7	0.34	-2.29	-5.09	0.27	-2.39	-5.35	0.10	-2.19	-5.52
Site 8	0.17	-2.40	-5.06	0.23	-2.31	-5.16	0.46	-2.31	-5.34
Site 9	0.40	-2.29	-5.31	0.19	-2.29	-4.89	0.25	-2.21	-5.44
Site 10	0.35	-2.23	-4.98	0.21	-2.29	-5.00	0.39	-2.15	-5.47
Min	0.03	-2.40	-5.56	0.06	-2.39	-6.18	0.10	-2.31	-5.76
Max	0.40	-2.12	-4.98	0.27	-2.26	-4.89	0.57	-2.11	-5.32
Mean	0.20	-2.30	-5.20	0.2	-2.30	-5.40	0.40	-2.20	-5.50
I_{geo}	Unpolluted/ Moderate	Unpolluted	Unpolluted	Unpolluted/ Moderate	Unpolluted	Unpolluted	Unpolluted/ Moderate	Unpolluted	Unpolluted

Table III: Degree of contamination in sediments

Season	Arsenic	Lead	Mercury	C_d	C_d Ranking
Monsoon	1.72	0.32	0.04	2.08	Moderate
Post monsoon	1.72	0.30	0.04	2.05	Moderate
Pre monsoon	1.94	0.32	0.03	2.30	Moderate

Table IV: Pollution load index

Season	Arsenic	Lead	Mercury	PLI	PLI Ranking
Monsoon	1.72	0.32	0.04	0.01	Not polluted
Post monsoon	1.72	0.30	0.04	0.01	Not polluted
Pre monsoon	1.94	0.32	0.03	0.01	Not polluted

V CONCLUSION

Sediment pollution in the present study was assessed using Contamination factor (CF), Geo accumulation index (Igeo), Degree of contamination of sediments (Cd) and Pollution load index (PLI). The CF results revealed that the sediments are low level of contamination with respect to Pb and Hg and moderate level of contamination factor with As. Similar condition was observed in Igeo, where the sediments are unpolluted with respect to Pb and Hg and unpolluted to moderately polluted with As. The Cd ranking illustrated that the sediments are moderately contaminated with As, Pb and Hg. The PLI values revealed that the sediments were non polluted.

IV DISCUSSION

The determination of contamination factor of heavy metals is an important aspect that indicates degree of risk of heavy metals to environment in relation with its retention time (20). The contamination factors of heavy metals in the sediments during monsoon, postmonsoon and premonsoon were presented in the Table I. The contamination factors of As were observed highest (>1) at all the sampling sites in all seasons. A high contamination factor of heavy metal shows low retention time and high risk to the environment time (Wu *et al.*, 2010). Whereas Pb and Hg was <1 during monsoon, postmonsoon and premonsoon. According to Hakanson, Cf has been classified into four groups: Cf <1 low contamination factor, 1 ≤ Cf <3 moderate contamination factor, 3 ≤ Cf <6. According to Hakanson classification, Arsenic shows moderate CF, Lead and Mercury shows low CF.

Index of geo-accumulation permits assessment of the level of sediment contamination with respect to global standards. Igeo index demonstrated that the majority of the undertaken metals have index value below zero, whereas As has the Igeo value between 0 and 1, and falls in class 1 (Table II). This indicates that the adyar estuary sediment is uncontaminated at most of the sites. Several negative Igeo values were noted for all considering metals at many sites and showed that the Adyar estuary bed sediment is uncontaminated for most of the trace metals in the study area. The high concentrations of heavy metals in sediments may not necessarily indicate anthropogenic contamination, because of different background levels in parent materials and sediment properties (21).

The Cd value of Arsenic, Lead and Mercury shows the moderate contamination (Table III) in the sediments of adyar estuary. The metal shows the moderate contamination in monsoon, post- monsoon and pre- monsoon. The degree of contamination (Cd) is defined as the sum of all contaminant factors of heavy metals (16). The metal shows the moderate contamination of class 2 (moderate) contamination in adyar estuary.

The PLI is used to assess the overall toxicity and quality status of the samples and furthermore it is a consequence of the contribution of the few metals (17). The PLI of the sediment is presented in Table IV. The PLI value of 0.01 is observed for Arsenic, Lead and Mercury during monsoon, premonsoon and postmonsoon. The PLI <1 value indicates the unpolluted state of Adyar estuary.

VI REFERENCE

- [1] Eugenia, J. O., Sanchez, G. and Mercado, G., Cleaner production and environmental sound biotechnology for the prevention of upstream nutrient pollution in the Mexican coast of the Gulf of Mexico. *Ocean Coastal Management.*, 2004, 47,641–670.
- [2] Wakida, F. T. D., Lara-Ruiz, E. J. and Temores, P., Heavy metals in sediments of the Tecate River, Mexico, *Environmental Geology*, 2008, **54**, 637–642.
- [3] Karthikeyan, R., Vijayalakshmi, S. and Bala subramanian, T., Monthly variations of heavy metals and metal resistant bacteria from the Uppanar estuary (Southeast coast of India). *Research Journal of Microbiology.*, 2007, 2, 50–57.
- [4] Oguzie, F. A., Heavy metals in fish, water and effluents of lower Ikpoba River in Benin City, Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Science and Industrial Research.*, 2003, **46(3)**, 156-160.
- [5] Banat, K.M., Howari, F.M. and Al-Hamad, A. A., Heavy metals in urban soils of central Jordan: should we worry about their environmental risks. *Environmental Research.*, 2005, **97**, 258-273.
- [6] Pekey, H., Karakaş, D. and Ayberk, S., Ecological Risk Assessment Using Trace Elements from Surface Sediments of İzmit Bay (Northeastern Marmara Sea) Turkey. *Marine Pollution Bulletin.*, 2004, **48**, 946–953.
- [7] Caeiro, S., Costa, M. H. and Ramos, T. B., Assessing Heavy Metal Contamination in Sado Estuary Sediment: An Index Analysis Approach. *Ecological Indicators.*, 2005, **5**, 151–169.

- [8] Omoigberale, M. O. and Ogbeibu, A. E., Assessing the environmental impacts of oil exploration and production on the Osse River, Southern Nigeria. *African Journal of Environmental Pollution and Health.*, 2005, **4(1)**,27-32.
- [9] Shiwafi, A.N., Rushdi, A.I. and Ba-Issa, A., Trace metals in surface seawaters and sediments from various habitats of the red sea coast of yemen. *Environmental Geology.*, 2005, **48(4-5)**, 590-598.
- [10] Hakanson, L., Ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control, a sedimentological approach. *Water Research.*, 1980, **14**, 975-1001.
- [11] Wedepohl, K.H., The composition of the continental crust. *Geochimica et Cosmochemica Acta.*, 1995, **59**, 1217-1232.
- [12] Muller, G., Index of geoaccumulation in the sediments of the Rhine River. *Geojournal.*, 1969, **2**, 108-118.
- [13] Turekian, K. K. and Wedepohl, K. H., Distribution of the elements in some major units of the earth's crust. *Geological Society of America Bulletin.*, 1961, **72**, 175-192.
- [14] Taylor, S.R., Abundance of chemical element in the chemical element in the continental crust-A new table. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta.*, 1964, **28**, 1273-1285
- [15] Ruiz, F., Trace metals in estuarine sediments from the south western Spanish coast. *Marine Pollution Bulletin.*, 2001, **42**, 482-490.
- [16] Aksu, A.E., Yasar, D. and Uslu, O., Assessment of marine pollution in Izmir Bay: Heavy metal and organic compound concentrations in surficial sediments. *Translations and Journal of Engineering and Environmental Science.*, 1998, **22**, 387-415.
- [17] Tomlinson, D.L., Wilson, J.G., Harris, C.R. and Jeffrey, D.W., Problems in the assessment of heavy metal levels in estuaries and the formation of a pollution index. Helgolaender Meeresuntersuchungen, *Environmental Evaluation.*, 1980, **33**, 566-575.
- [18] Chakravarty, M. and Patgiri, A.D., Metal Pollution Assessment in Sediments of the Dikrong River, N.E. India. *Journal of Human Ecology.*, 2009, **27**, 63-67.
- [18] Seshan, B. R. R., Natesan, U. and Deepthi, K., Geochemical and statistical approach for evaluation of heavy metal pollution in core sediments in southeast coast of India. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology.*, 2010, **7 (2)**, 291-306.
- [19] Wu, Y.G., Xu, Y.N., Zhang, J.H. and Hu, S.H., Evaluation of ecological risk and primary empirical research on heavy metals in polluted soil over Xiaoqingling gold mining region, Shaanxi, China. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China.*, 2010, **20(4)**, 688-694.
- [20] Esen, E., Kucuksezgin, F. and Uluturhan, E., Assessment of trace metal pollution in surface sediments of Nemrut Bay, Aegean Sea. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.*, 2010, **160**, 257-266.