

Approach and Procedures in Language Teaching Pedagogy

Pouria Mallahi

Department of English, Bharati Vidyapeeth University, Pune, India
Email: pouria.mallahi@yahoo.com

Abstract— In English language teaching method the three key terms viz-Method, Approach and procedure are utilized as often as possible and reciprocally. This tripartite plan is progressive altogether. The term 'Method' is extremely vague, and alludes to the general arrangement for the systematic introductions of language material, no piece of which repudiates and which is all in view of chose approach and technique. The papers discusses the three basic procedures and the subsequent techniques in Language Teaching Pedagogy.

Keywords— Language, Teaching, Pedagogy

I. INTRODUCTION

The primary methodology for securing a remote language was the one connected to learning Latin. Because of the way that Latin was the language of instruction 500 years back its examination was massively essential for taught students. The point by point investigation of syntax, as examining conjugations and declensions, doing interpretations and composing test sentences was viewed as focal in the showing methodology at the time. The showing methodology utilized for learning Latin was, after its decrease from a talked language to a school subject, received for learning remote languages when all is said in done. This way to deal with outside language instructing ended up plainly known as the Grammar-Translation Method.

Three components Method; Approach, Design & Procedures

Approach

a) A hypothesis of the idea of language - a record of the idea of the Language capability. - A record of the fundamental units of language structure.

b) A hypothesis of the idea of language learning. - A record of the psycholinguistic and subjective procedures engaged with language learning. - A record of the conditions that take into consideration effective utilization of these procedures.

Design

a) The general and particular goals of the method
b) A syllabus display - criteria for the choice and association of semantic or potentially topic content.

c) Types of learning and showing exercises - sorts of assignments and practice exercises to be utilized in the classroom and in materials

d) Learner parts - sorts of learning errands set for students. - Level of control students has over the substance of learning. - Examples of student groupings those are prescribed or inferred. - The degree to which students impact the learning of others. - The perspective of the student as a processor, entertainer, initiator, issue solver and so forth.

e) Teacher parts - Types of capacities educators satisfy. - Level of instructor impact over learning. - The degree to which the educator decides the substance of learning. - Sorts of association amongst instructors and students.

f) The part of instructional materials.

Procedure

a) Classroom strategy, practices, and practices watched when the method is utilized. - Assets as far as time, space and hardware utilized by the instructor. - International examples saw in lessons. - Strategies and techniques utilized by educators and students when the method is being utilized.

The term 'Method' is once in a while contrasted and the term 'Approach'. As per Yardi "Method is unbending while Approach is adaptable". Calling attention to the distinctive perspectives regularly held in less educated hover of educators about the significance of method.." (Yardi, 1987)

The Grammar - Translation Method

This method, otherwise called the established method, is one of the most seasoned or customary methods of instructing English. In Europe, it was utilized as a part of the educating of Latin and Greek for a few centuries. Various

methods and procedures have advanced for the educating of English and furthermore other outside languages in the current past, yet punctuation interpretation method is still being used in many parts of the world. This method overwhelmed European and other remote language educating for almost a hundred years, till the appearance of basic semantics.

This method was for the most part upheld by the German researchers and wound up plainly known as Prussian Method. As the name as of now proposes, sentence structure was viewed as a beginning stage for a guideline (Thornbury, 2000). One of the fundamental parts of the Grammar-Translation Method was its attention on the nitty-gritty investigation of sentence structure rules, trailed by the utilization of the educated standards in interpretation practices first into and afterward out of the objective language (Dendrinis, 1992). As far as the four language abilities, the principle concentrate was on composing and perusing, though little consideration was paid to talking or tuning in. Precision was a vital element of this method too since understudies were required to accomplish exclusive expectations in interpreting sentences, which was tried in composed exams (Richards and Rodgers, 2007).

The ubiquity of this method among ages of instructors can be identified with factors that are widespread. As we probably are aware, it keeps up the first language of the student as the reference especially during the time spent taking in the second/remote languages. Again it doesn't require uncommon preparing or concentrated abilities with respect to the educator. Its extraordinary interest for educators in India lies in the since quite a while ago settled convictions in the energy of memory and its fruitful use in early learning of languages as well as different subjects as well (Tickoo, 2003).

The Direct Method

Again this method is an intelligent expansion of the Natural method. It is likewise a branch of the Behaviorist school of brain science. It demands that the way to all language learning lies in affiliation. It focuses on the requirement for direct relationship amongst experience and articulation in the outside language. The point is to empower the student to think in remote language and to develop an unerring language sense. It perceives that language sense has its underlying foundations in the talked language and lays weight on the oral approach (Paul, 1989). The direct method, once in a while likewise called as the 'change' method, 'characteristic' method, 'mental' method, 'phonetic' method, and 'against linguistic' method, was set up in France and Germany around early 1900, and presented in India in the mid-twentieth century as a change which was required in

the methods of instructing English. The significant presumptions of this method were contrary to the sentence structure interpretation method. Consequently, it is considered as a response to the sentence structure interpretation method with an unmistakable linguistic bias (Bhatia and. Bhatia, 1972).

The Audio-Lingual Method

Amid the World War II, American troopers had an earnest need to learn languages like-German, French, Chinese or Japanese to convey viably when posted in different nations. The Army Specialized Training Program (ASTP) was built up in 1942 by American language specialists to meet this pressing need. American Universities were associated with the program by the start of 1943. This system of instructing was at first called the 'Armed force Method' and was the first to be founded on phonetic hypothesis and behavioral brain science.

The Bilingual Method

As the name proposes, the method makes utilization of two languages-the primary languages and the objective language. Thus, this can be considered as a mix of the direct method and the sentence structure interpretation method. 'Choice, 'Degree', 'Introduction', and 'Reiteration' are the four cardinal standards of all language educating methodology. This method has all the four standards in it.

Suggestopedia method

This method was upheld by Georgi Loznov, a Bulgarian specialist of medicine, therapist, and parapsychologist. It is otherwise called Desuggestopedia, a particular arrangement of taking in proposals got from Suggestology which Loznov depicts as a "science... worried about the precise investigation of the non-levelheaded as well as non-cognizant impacts" that people are always reacting to (Stevick, 1976)

The most obvious qualities of this method are the beautification, furniture, and course of action of the classroom, the utilization of music and the definitive conduct of the educator. The cases for suggestopedic learning are emotional. Suggestopedic method puts a high incentive on vocabulary review and retention of vocabulary sets. In any case, laznov stresses that expanded memory control isn't a detached aptitude yet is a consequence of "constructive, thorough incitement of personality" (Lazanov, 1978).

II. CONCLUSION

Language learning is such an intricate procedure, to the point that it is difficult to offer a solitary answer for every one of our issues. Maybe the best method is the one which

works, and this fluctuates from setting to setting. Any method which makes conditions for figuring out how to happen is great. It should empower the student to obtain the techniques of adapting instead of only furnishing him with information. What is essential for the instructor, along these lines, is to discover what approach and what method will empower him to understand a specific target under an arrangement of specific conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhatia Kamala and B.D. Bhatia, (1972) ‘The Principles and Methods of Teaching’, Delhi: Doaba House Publishers, P. 314
- [2] Dendrinis, Bessie. 1992. The EFL textbook and ideology. Athens: Grivas.
- [3] Lazanov, G., (1978) ‘Suggestology and Outlines of Suggestopedy’, New York: Gordon and Breach, P.253
- [4] Paul, Verghese C. (1989) first reprint 1990 ‘Teaching English as a Second Language’, Delhi: Sterling Publishers Pvt.Ltd. Pp.57-58
- [5] Richards, Jack; Rodgers Theodore. 2007. Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- [6] Stevick, E.W., (1976), ‘Memory Meaning and Method: Some Psychological Perspectives on Language Learning’, Rowley Mass: Newbury House, P.42
- [7] Thornbury, Scott. 2000. How to teach grammar. Harlow: Longman.
- [8] Tickoo M.L; (2003) ‘Teaching and Learning English: A Sourcebook for Teachers and Teacher-trainers’, Delhi: Orient Longman, Pp.349,50
- [9] Yardi, V.V. (1987) (IInd ed.), ‘Teaching English in India Today’, Aurangabad: Parimal Prakashan, P.176