

First Redefined Zagreb Index of Generalized Transformation Graph

^{[1],*}Sharan Hegde, ^[2]Anjum Khan, ^[3]Vinay Prasad.T

^{[1],[2],[3],[4]} Dept. of Mathematics, BIT, Mangalore

Corresponding author. Email: ^{[1],}hegdesharan3@gmail.com, ^[2]khananjum73@gmail.com, ^[3]vinayprasad34@gmail.com

Abstract— In Mathematical chemistry, The topological chemical descriptive is valuable part to investigate (QSPR) & (QSAR). Here, The articulations for the First Redefined Zagreb index of generalized transformation graph G_{xy} and its complement were acquired.

Index Terms— Zagreb index; First Redefined Zagreb index, Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C76, 05C07, 92E10

I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of topological indices plays an highly vital part in QSAR & QSPR. Topological indices associate the exact physico-chemical properties. For more details see [4], [7], [10], [11]. Let p and q be the vertices and edges of simple undirected graph G respectively, its compliment \bar{G} . Let $V_s(G)$ set of vertices & $E_s(G)$ set of edges of Graph G respectively. Let u & v both vertices adjacent to each other such that $uv = e$ an edge of G . degree represented by $de_G(u)$, the cardinality of edges incident to vertex u .

Ranjini et al. [13] characterized the First Redefined Zagreb index $ReZG_1$, that is

$$ReZG_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{de_G(u) + de_G(v)}{de_G(u).de_G(v)}$$

The generalized transformation graphs and topological indices introduced by H. S.Ramane et al. [8,9]. W.Nazeer et. al [12] obtained the First Redefined Zagreb index of line graph of subdivision of friendship & star graphs and M. Ahmad et. al [15] computed First Redefined Zagreb index of dominating David derived networks.

Here we acquired the expressions for generalized transformation graphs G_{xy} and their compliments \bar{G}^{xy} interms of First Redefined Zagreb index.

II. GENERALIZED TRANSFORMATION GRAPHS G_{XY}

The semi total – point graph $T_2(G)$ was introduced by Chikkodimath & Sampathkumar[14].R.B Jummannaver et al.[3] defined k^{th} Generalized transformation graphs, some new graphical transformation defined by Basavanagoud et al. [1] which generalizes the semi total-point graph.

The generalized transformation graph G^{xy} , $V_s(T_2(G)) = V_s(G) \cup E_s(G)$ and $i, j \in V_s(G^{xy})$. The points i & j are adjacent in G if and only if (1)&(2) holds:

(1) $i, j \in V_s(G)$, i, j points are not adjacent if $x = -$ & i, j are adjacent if $x = +$

(2) $i \in V_s(G)$ and $j \in E_s(G)$, i, j points are not incident if $y = -$ and i, j points are incident if $y = +$

2.1 Proposition: [1] q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G . Let $u \in V_s(G)$ and $e \in E_s(G)$. Then degrees of line vertices & vertex in G^{xy}

- (a) $de_{G^{++}}(u) = 2 de_G(u)$
- (b) $de_{G^{++}}(e) = 2$.
- (c) $de_{G^{+-}}(u) = q$
- (d) $de_{G^{+-}}(e) = (-2+p)$.
- (e) $de_{G^{-+}}(u) = (-1+p)$
- (f) $de_{G^{-+}}(e) = 2$.
- (g) $de_{G^{--}}(u) = q+p-(2de_G(u)+1)$
- (h) $de_{G^{--}}(e) = (-2+p)$.

Number of vertices of G^{xy} is $p+q$. By 2.1 Proposition & considering that $de_{\bar{G}}(u) = p - (de_G(u)+1)$.

2.2 Proposition: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G . Let $e \in E_s(G)$ & $u \in V_s(G)$, degrees of line vertices and vertex of \bar{G}^{xy}

- (a) $de_{\bar{G}^{++}}(u) = q+p-(2de_G(u)+1)$
- (b) $de_{\bar{G}^{++}}(e) = q+p-3$
- (c) $de_{\bar{G}^{+-}}(u) = p-1$
- (d) $de_{\bar{G}^{+-}}(e) = q+1$
- (e) $de_{\bar{G}^{-+}}(u) = q$
- (f) $de_{\bar{G}^{-+}}(e) = q+p-3$
- (g) $de_{\bar{G}^{--}}(u) = 2 de_G(u)$
- (h) $de_{\bar{G}^{--}}(e) = 1+q$

Notations used for future Results

$$\begin{array}{ll} de_{G^{++}}(u) = a_1 & de_{G^{++}}(e) = b_1 \\ de_{G^{+-}}(u) = a_2 & de_{G^{+-}}(e) = b_2 \\ de_{G^{-+}}(u) = a_3 & de_{G^{-+}}(e) = b_3 \\ de_{G^{--}}(u) = a_4 & de_{G^{--}}(e) = b_4 \end{array}$$

III. FIRST REDEFINED ZAGREB INDEX (REZG1) OF G^{xy}

Theorem 3.1: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G , then $ReZG_1(G^{++}) = \frac{1}{2} ReZG_1(G) + \sum_{u \in E_{S_2}(G)} \frac{[1+de_G(u)]}{2}$

Proof : Suppose $E_S(G^{++})$ is the set of edges Partition into subsets E_{S_1} and E_{S_2} , $E_{S_1} = \{ue \mid uv \in E_S(G)\}$, $E_{S_2} = \{ue \text{ such that } u \text{ is incident to } e\}$. Therefore $|E_{S_1}| = q$, $|E_{S_2}| = 2q$. from 2.1 Proposition, $u \in V_S(G)$ as well $a_1 = 2de_G(u)$ & $e \in E_S(G)$ as well $b_1 = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} ReZG_1(G^{++}) &= \sum_{uv \in E_S(G^{++})} \frac{a_1 + b_1}{a_1 \cdot b_1} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_1}} \frac{a_1 + b_1}{a_1 \cdot b_1} \\ &+ \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{a_1 + b_1}{a_1 \cdot b_1} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_1}} \frac{2a_1 + 2b_1}{2a_1 \cdot 2b_1} \\ &+ \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{2a_1 + 2}{2a_1 \cdot 2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ReZG_1(G) + \sum_{u \in E_{S_2}(G)} \frac{[1 + de_G(u)]}{2de_G(u)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ReZG_1(G) + \sum_{u \in V_S(G)} \frac{de_G(u)[1 + de_G(u)]}{2de_G(u)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ReZG_1(G) + \sum_{u \in V_S(G)} \frac{[1 + de_G(u)]}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G , then

$$ReZG_1(G^{+-}) = q + p$$

Proof: Suppose $E_S(G^{+-})$ is the set of edges Partition into subsets E_{S_1} and E_{S_2} , $E_{S_1} = \{ue \mid uv \in E_S(G)\}$, $E_{S_2} = \{ue \text{ such that } u \text{ is } u \text{ not incident to } e\}$. Therefore $|E_{S_1}| = q$, $|E_{S_2}| = q(p-2)$. from 2.1 Proposition, $u \in V_S(G)$ as well $a_2 = q$ & $e \in E_S(G)$ as well $b_2 = (p-2)$

$$\begin{aligned} ReZG_1(G^{+-}) &= \sum_{u,v \in E_S(G^{+-})} \frac{a_2 + b_2}{a_2 \cdot b_2} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_1}} \frac{a_2 + b_2}{a_2 \cdot b_2} \\ &+ \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{a_2 + b_2}{a_2 \cdot b_2} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_S(G)} \frac{q + q}{q \cdot q} + \sum_{ue \in E_2} \frac{q + p - 2}{q \cdot (p-2)} \\ &= 2 + \frac{(q+p-2)}{(p-2) \cdot q} \cdot q \cdot (p-2) \\ &= q + p \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G , then

$$ReZG_1(G^{-+}) = \frac{2}{(p-1)} \left[\binom{p}{2} - q \right] + \frac{(p+1)q}{(p-1)}$$

Proof: Suppose $E_S(G^{-+})$ is the set of edges Partition into subsets E_{S_1} and E_{S_2} , $E_{S_1} = \{ue \mid uv \notin E_S(G)\}$, $E_{S_2} = \{ue \text{ such that } u \text{ is incident to } e\}$. Therefore $|E_{S_1}| = \binom{p}{2} - q$, $|E_{S_2}| = 2q$. from 2.1 Proposition, $u \in V_S(G)$ as well $a_3 = (p-1)$ & $e \in E_S(G)$ as well $b_3 = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} ReZG_1(G^{-+}) &= \sum_{u,v \in E_S(G^{-+})} \frac{a_3 + b_3}{a_3 \cdot b_3} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_1}} \frac{a_3 + b_3}{a_3 \cdot b_3} \\ &+ \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{a_3 + b_3}{a_3 \cdot b_3} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_1}} \frac{2(p-1)}{(p-1)^2} \\ &+ \sum_{ue \in E_{S_2}} \frac{(p+1)}{2 \cdot (p-1)} \\ &= \frac{2}{(p-1)} \left[\binom{p}{2} - q \right] + \frac{(p+1)2q}{2(p-1)} \\ &= \frac{2}{(p-1)} \left[\binom{p}{2} - q \right] + \frac{(p+1)q}{(p-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G , then

$$\begin{aligned} ReZG_1(G^{--}) &= \sum_{uv \notin E_S(G)} \frac{2[p+q-1 - (de_G(u) + de_G(v))]}{[q+p-1 - 2de_G(u)][q+p-1 - 2de_G(v)]} \\ &+ \sum_{u \in V_S(G)} [q - de_G(u)] \\ &\times \frac{2p+q-3 - 2de_G(u)}{[p+q-1 - 2de_G(u)](p-2)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Suppose $E_S(G^{--})$ is the set of edges Partition into subsets E_{S_1} and E_{S_2} , $E_{S_1} = \{ue \mid uv \notin E_S(G)\}$, $E_{S_2} = \{ue \text{ such that } u \text{ not incident to } e\}$. Therefore $|E_{S_1}| = \binom{p}{2} - q$, $|E_{S_2}| = q(p-2)$. from 2.1 Proposition, $u \in V_S(G)$ as well $a_4 = p+q-1 - 2de_G(u)$ & $e \in E_S(G)$ as well $b_4 = (p-2)$

$$\begin{aligned} ReZG_1(G^{--}) &= \sum_{u,v \in E_S(G^{--})} \frac{a_4 + b_4}{a_4 \cdot b_4} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_1}} \frac{a_4 + b_4}{a_4 \cdot b_4} \\ &+ \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{a_4 + b_4}{a_4 \cdot b_4} \\ &= \sum_{uv \notin E_S(G)} \frac{q+p-1 - 2de_G(u) + q+p-1 - 2de_G(v)}{(q+p-1 - 2de_G(u))(q+p-1 - 2de_G(v))} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_2}} \frac{q+p-1-2de_G(u)+p-2}{(q+p-1-2de_G(u))(p-2)} \\
 & = \sum_{uv \notin E_S(G)} \frac{2[q+p-1-(de_G(u)+de_G(v))]}{[q+p-1-2de_G(u)][q+p-1-2de_G(v)]} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{u \in V_S(G)} [q-de_G(u)] \\
 & \quad \times \frac{2p+q-3-2de_G(u)}{[p+q-1-2de_G(u)](p-2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Notations used for future Results

$$\begin{aligned}
 de_{\overline{G^{++}}}(u) &= c_1 & de_{\overline{G^{++}}}(e) &= d_1 \\
 de_{\overline{G^{++}}}(u) &= c_2 & de_{\overline{G^{++}}}(e) &= d_2 \\
 de_{\overline{G^{++}}}(u) &= c_3 & de_{\overline{G^{++}}}(e) &= d_3 \\
 de_{\overline{G^{++}}}(u) &= c_4 & de_{\overline{G^{++}}}(e) &= d_4
 \end{aligned}$$

IV. FIRST REDEFINED ZAGREB INDEX (REZG1) OF $\overline{G^{XY}}$

Theorem 4.1: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 ReZG_1(\overline{G^{++}}) &= \sum_{u,v \notin E_S(G)} \frac{2[p+q-1-(de_G(u)+de_G(v))]}{[q+p-1-2de_G(u)][q+p-1-2de_G(v)]} \\
 & + \sum_{u \in V_S(G)} [q-de_G(u)] \\
 & \times \frac{2[q+p-2-de_G(u)]}{[q+p-1-2de_G(u)](q+p-3)} \\
 & + \frac{q(p-1)}{(p+q-3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof : Suppose $E_S(\overline{G^{++}})$ is the set of edges Partition into subsets $E_{S_1}, E_{S_2}, E_{S_3}$, $E_{S_1} = \{ue \mid uv \notin E_S(G)\}$, $E_{S_2} = \{ue$ such that u not incident to $e\}$, $E_{S_3} = \{ef \mid e, f \in E_S(G)\}$. Therefore $|E_{S_1}| = \binom{p}{2} - q$, $|E_{S_2}| = q(p-2)$, $|E_{S_3}| = \binom{q}{2}$, from 2.1 Proposition, $u \in V_S(G)$ as well $c_1 = p+q-1-2de_G(u)$ & $e \in E_S(G)$ as well $d_1 = p+q-3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 ReZG_1(\overline{G^{++}}) &= \sum_{u,v \in E_S(\overline{G^{++}})} \frac{c_1 + d_1}{c_1 \cdot d_1} \\
 & = \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_1}} \frac{c_1 + c_1}{c_1 \cdot c_1} \\
 & + \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{c_1 + d_1}{c_1 \cdot d_1} \\
 & + \sum_{e,f \in E_{S_3}} \frac{d_1 + d_1}{d_1 \cdot d_1} \\
 & = \sum_{u,v \notin E_S(G)} \frac{[q+p-1-2de_G(u)] + [q+p-1-2de_G(v)]}{[q+p-1-2de_G(u)]. [q+p-1-2de_G(v)]}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{[q+p-1-2de_G(u)] + [q+p-3]}{[q+p-1-2de_G(u)]. [q+p-3]} \\
 & + \sum_{e,f \in E_{S_3}} \frac{[q+p-3] + [q+p-3]}{[q+p-3]. [q+p-3]} \\
 & = \sum_{u,v \notin E_S(G)} \frac{2[p+q-1-(de_G(u)+de_G(v))]}{[p+q-1-2de_G(u)][p+q-1-2de_G(v)]} \\
 & + \sum_{u \in V_S(G)} [q-de_G(u)] \\
 & \times \frac{2[q+p-2-de_G(u)]}{[q+p-1-2de_G(u)](q+p-3)} \\
 & + \frac{q(p-1)}{(p+q-3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G, then

$$ReZG_1(\overline{G^{+-}}) = \frac{\{p+qp+q(p-1)\}(p-1) - 2q + 2qp}{(q+1)(p-1)}$$

Proof : Suppose $E_S(\overline{G^{+-}})$ is the set of edges Partition into subsets $E_{S_1}, E_{S_2}, E_{S_3}$, $E_{S_1} = \{ue \mid uv \notin E_S(G)\}$, $E_{S_2} = \{ue$ such that u incident to $e\}$, $E_{S_3} = \{ef \mid e, f \in E_S(G)\}$. Therefore $|E_{S_1}| = \binom{p}{2} - q$, $|E_{S_2}| = 2q$, $|E_{S_3}| = \binom{q}{2}$, from 2.1 Proposition, $u \in V_S(G)$ as well $c_2 = p-1$ & $e \in E_S(G)$ as well $d_2 = q+1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 ReZG_1(\overline{G^{+-}}) &= \sum_{u,v \in E_S(\overline{G^{+-}})} \frac{c_2 + d_2}{c_2 \cdot d_2} \\
 & = \sum_{u,v \in E_{S_1}} \frac{c_2 + c_2}{c_2 \cdot c_2} \\
 & + \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{c_2 + d_2}{c_2 \cdot d_2} \\
 & + \sum_{e,f \in E_{S_3}} \frac{d_2 + d_2}{d_2 \cdot d_2} \\
 & = \sum_{u,v \notin E_S(G)} \frac{p-1+p-1}{(p-1)(p-1)} \\
 & + \sum_{u,e \in E_{S_2}} \frac{p-1+q+1}{(q+1)(p-1)} \\
 & + \sum_{e,f \in E_{S_3}} \frac{q+1+q+1}{(q+1)(q+1)} \\
 & = \frac{2}{(p-1)} \left[\frac{p(q-1)}{2} - q \right] + \frac{(p+q)2q}{(q+1)(p-1)} \\
 & + \frac{2}{(q+1)} \\
 & = \frac{\{p+qp+q(p-1)\}(p-1) - 2q + 2qp}{(q+1)(p-1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.3: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G,

$$\text{then } \text{ReZG}_1(\overline{G^{+-}}) = \frac{q^2 - 3q + p(2q + p - 3)}{(p + q - 3)}$$

Proof : Suppose $E_s(\overline{G^{+-}})$ is the set of edges Partition into subsets E_{s1}, E_{s2}, E_{s3} , $E_{s1} = \{ue \mid uv \in E_s(G)\}$, $E_{s2} = \{ue \text{ such that } u \text{ not incident to } e\}$, $E_{s3} = \{ef \mid e, f \in E_s(G)\}$. Therefore $|E_{s1}| = q$, $|E_{s2}| = q(p - 2)$, $|E_{s3}| = \binom{q}{2}$, from 2.1 Proposition, $u \in V_s(G)$ as well $c_3 = q$ & $e \in E_s(G)$ as well $d_3 = p + q - 3$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ReZG}_1(\overline{G^{+-}}) &= \sum_{u,v \in E_s(\overline{G^{+-}})} \frac{c_3 + d_3}{c_3 \cdot d_3} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_{s1}} \frac{c_3 + c_3}{c_3 \cdot c_3} \\ &+ \sum_{u,e \in E_{s2}} \frac{c_3 + d_3}{c_3 \cdot d_3} \\ &+ \sum_{e,f \in E_{s3}} \frac{d_3 + d_3}{d_3 \cdot d_3} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_s(G)} \frac{q + q}{q \cdot q} + \sum_{ue \in E_{s2}} \frac{q + p + q - 3}{q \cdot (p + q - 3)} \\ &+ \sum_{ef \in E_{s3}} \frac{p + q - 3 + p + q - 3}{(p + q - 3)(p + q - 3)} \\ &= 2 + \left[\frac{2q + p - 3}{(p + q - 3)q} \right] q(p - 2) \\ &+ \frac{2}{(p + q - 3)} \left[\frac{q(q - 1)}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{q^2 - 3q + (2q + p - 3)p}{(p + q - 3)} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4: q & p be the edges and vertices of graph G , then $\text{ReZG}_1(\overline{G^{--}}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ReZG}_1(G)$

$$+ \sum_{u \in V_s(G)} \frac{2de_G(u) + q + 1}{2(q + 1)} + \frac{q(q - 1)}{(q + 1)}$$

Proof : Suppose $E_s(\overline{G^{--}})$ is the set of edges Partition into subsets E_{s1}, E_{s2}, E_{s3} , $E_{s1} = \{ue \mid uv \in E_s(G)\}$, $E_{s2} = \{ue \text{ such that } u \text{ incident to } e\}$, $E_{s3} = \{ef \mid e, f \in E_s(G)\}$. Therefore $|E_{s1}| = q$, $|E_{s2}| = 2q$, $|E_{s3}| = \binom{q}{2}$, from 2.1 Proposition, $u \in V_s(G)$ as well $c_4 = 2de_G(u)$ & $e \in E_s(G)$ as well $d_4 = q + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ReZG}_1(\overline{G^{--}}) &= \sum_{u,v \in E_s(\overline{G^{--}})} \frac{c_4 + d_4}{c_4 \cdot d_4} \\ &= \sum_{u,v \in E_{s1}} \frac{c_4 + c_4}{c_4 \cdot c_4} \\ &+ \sum_{u,e \in E_{s2}} \frac{c_4 + d_4}{c_4 \cdot d_4} \\ &+ \sum_{e,f \in E_{s3}} \frac{d_4 + d_4}{d_4 \cdot d_4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{u,v \in E_s(G)} \frac{2de_G(u) + 2de_G(v)}{2de_G(u) \cdot 2de_G(v)} \\ &+ \sum_{u,e \in E_s(G)} \frac{2de_G(u) + q + 1}{2de_G(u)(q + 1)} \\ &+ \sum_{e,f \in E_{s3}} \frac{q + 1 + q + 1}{(q + 1)(q + 1)} \\ \text{ReZG}_1(\overline{G^{--}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{ReZG}_1(G) \\ &+ \sum_{u \in V_s(G)} \frac{2de_G(u) + q + 1}{2(q + 1)} + \frac{q(q - 1)}{(q + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

V. CONCLUSION:

Here, we acquired the expressions of the First Redefined Zagreb Index for Generalized Transformation Graph G^{XY} and its complements $\overline{G^{XY}}$ in terms of the specifications of elemental graph G . Therefore One can obtain other descriptors for generalized transformation graph using same edge partition method and also can acquired expression for topological indices for generalized transformation graphs G^{XY} also for its complements.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Basavanagoud, I. Gutman, V. R. Desai, Zagreb indices of general- ized transformation graphs and their complements, Kragujevac J. Sci., 37 (2015), 99–112.
- [2] J. Devillers, New trends in (Q)SAR modelling with topological indices. Curr. Opin. Drug Discov. Dev. 2000;3:275-279.
- [3] R. B. Jummannaver, K. Narayanakar, D. Selvan, Zagreb index and coin- th dex of k Generalized transformation graphs, Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institutes, 10(2020), 389-402.
- [4] R. B. Jummannaver, I. Gutman, R. K. Mundewadi, On Zagreb indices and coindices of cluster graphs, Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institutes, 8(2018), 477-485.
- [5] L. B. Kier, L. H. Hall, Molecular Connectivity in Chemistry and Drug Research, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [6] L. B. Kier, L. H. Hall, Molecular Connectivity in Structure Activity Anal- ysis, Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [7] L. Pogliani, From molecular connectivity indices to semiempirical connec- tivity terms: recent trends in graph theoretical descriptors, Chem. Rev., 100 (2000), 3827–3858.
- [8] H. S. Ramane, R. B. Jummannaver, S. Sedghi, Some degree based topo- logical indices of generalized transformation graphs and of their comple- ments, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 109(3) (2016), 493-508. (Bulgaria) ISSN: 1311-8080 (Print) 1314-3395 (Online). doi: 10.12732/ijpam.v109i3.2
- [9] H. S. Ramane, B. Basavanagoud, R. B. Jummannaver, Harmonic index and Randic index of generalized transformation graphs, Journal of the Nigerian Mathematics Society, 37(2) (2018), 57-69.
- [10] H. S. Ramane, R. B. Jummannaver, Note on the forgotten topological index of molecular graphs in

- drugs, Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Science, 1(2) (2016), 369-374. (Spain) ISSN: 2444-8656.
- [11] H.S. Ramane, V.B. Joshi, R.B. Jummannavar, S.D. Shindhe, Relationship between Randic index, Sum-connectivity index, Harmonic index and pi-electronic energy for Benzenoid Hydrocarbons, National Academy Science Letter, 42(2019), 519-524.
- [12] W. Nazeer, M. Akmal, M. Imran, M. Farahani, On topological indices of line graph of subdivision of star and friendship graphs. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 2018; 118(2):413-417.
- [13] P.S. Ranjini, V. Lokesha, A. Usha, Relation between phenylene and hexagonal squeeze using harmonic index. Int J Graph Theory 2013; 1: 116-21.
- [14] E. Sampathkumar, S.B. Chikkodimath, Semitotal graphs of a graph - I, J. Karnatak Univ. Sci., 18 (1973), 274-280.
- [15] M. Ahmad, W. Nazeer, S. Kang, M. Imran, W. Gao, Calculating degree-based topological indices of dominating David derived networks. Open Phys. 2017; 15:1015-1021..

